arXiv:1402.5376v1 [math.PR] 21 Feb 2014

CONNECTIVE CONSTANT FOR A WEIGHTED SELF-AVOIDING WALK ON $\mathbb{Z}^2$

ALEXANDER GLAZMAN

Abstract. We consider a self-avoiding walk on $\mathbb{Z}^2$. The weight of each square depends on the way the walk passes through it and the weight of the whole walk is calculated as a product of these weights. We consider a family of critical weights parametrized by $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. For $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$, this can be mapped to the self-avoiding walk on the honeycomb lattice. The connective constant in this case was rigorously proved to be equal to $\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$ by Duminil-Copin and Smrinov in [6]. We generalize their proof.

1. Introduction

Self-avoiding walks (i.e. visiting each vertex at most once) were proposed by P. Flory and W. J. C. Orr [8, 15]. These walks turned out to be a very interesting object leading to rich mathematical theories, see [12, 2], and raising important challenges (it is difficult to understand because of its non-markovity). There are not so many rigorous statements in this field, one of the main conjectures being convergence to SLE(8/3). Some progress in this direction was achieved by G. Lawler, O. Schramm and W. Werner, who proved in [10] that if the scaling-limit of self-avoiding walk exists and is conformally invariant, then it is SLE(8/3). In 1984, B. Nienhuis nonrigorously derived in [14] that the connective constant for the hexagonal lattice equals to $\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$. This has been proved recently by H. Duminil-Copin and S. Smirnov in [6]. Since the self-avoiding walk on the square lattice does not seem to be integrable, it is not reasonable to expect any explicit formula for the connective constant in this case. Nevertheless, one can study natural variations of the model, for instance by introducing additional weights.

We fix $\theta \in \left[\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ and consider the self-avoiding walk on Λ — the skewed $\mathbb{Z}^2$ lattice with edges having length 1 and all plaquets having angles θ and $\pi - \theta$.

Date: February 24, 2014.

To be precise this will be a curve starting and ending at the middle of edges, intersecting edges at right angles and having in each plaquet either one straight line connecting two opposite edges or two arcs surrounding opposite vertices or one arc or just no arcs (see fig. 1). Each rhombus has a weight according to the configuration of arcs inside it:

- empty plaquet has weight 1
- plaquet with a short arc has weight u_1
- plaquet with a long arc has weight u_2
- plaquet with a straight line has weight v
- plaquet with a two short arcs has weight w_1
- plaquet with a two long arcs has weight w_2



FIGURE 1. Different ways of passing a rhombus with their weights.

The weight of the whole walk is calculated as the product of weights of the plaquets. The partition function is equal to the sum of the weights of all self-avoiding walks on Λ starting at 0:

$$\omega(\gamma) = \prod_{r-rhombus} \omega(r)$$

$$Z(u_1, u_2, v, w_1, w_2) = \sum_{\gamma} \omega(\gamma).$$

Let us consider

$$\tilde{c}_n = \frac{1}{u_1^n} \sum_{|\gamma|=n} \omega(\gamma).$$

By definition, we find

$$Z(u_1, u_2, v, w_1, w_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_n u_1^n.$$

We are now in a position to state our main result:

Theorem 1. *There exists a family of weights* $(u_1^c,\ u_2^c,\ v^c,\ w_1^c,\ w_2^c)_\theta$ *parametrized by* $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ *such that for these weights* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{\widetilde{c}_n}$ *exists and is equal to* $\frac{1}{u_1^c}$.

Furthermore, these weights can be calculated explicitly:

$$u_1^c = \frac{\sin(\frac{5\pi}{4})\sin(\frac{5\pi}{8}+\frac{3\theta}{8})}{\sin(\frac{5\pi}{4}+\frac{3\theta}{8})\sin(\frac{5\pi}{8}-\frac{3\theta}{8})} \tag{1}$$

$$u_2^c = \frac{\sin(\frac{5\pi}{4})\sin(\frac{3\theta}{8})}{\sin(\frac{5\pi}{4}+\frac{3\theta}{8})\sin(\frac{5\pi}{8}-\frac{3\theta}{8})} \tag{2}$$

$$v^c = \frac{\sin(\frac{5\pi}{8}+\frac{3\theta}{8})\sin(-\frac{3\theta}{8})}{\sin(\frac{5\pi}{4}+\frac{3\theta}{8})\sin(\frac{5\pi}{8}-\frac{3\theta}{8})} \tag{3}$$

$$w_1^c = \frac{\sin(\frac{5\pi}{8}+\frac{3\theta}{8})\sin(\frac{5\pi}{4}-\frac{3\theta}{8})}{\sin(\frac{5\pi}{4}+\frac{3\theta}{8})\sin(\frac{5\pi}{8}-\frac{3\theta}{8})} \tag{4}$$

$$w_2^c = \frac{\sin(\frac{15\pi}{8}+\frac{3\theta}{8})\sin(-\frac{3\theta}{8})}{\sin(\frac{5\pi}{4}+\frac{3\theta}{8})\sin(\frac{5\pi}{8}-\frac{3\theta}{8})} \tag{5}$$

These weights were discovered by B. Nienhuis [13] in 1990 as solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. They were rediscovered by J. Cardy and Y. Ikhlef [**?**] in 2009 as the weights for which the parafermionic observable satisfies some particular equations. For the connection between these two approaches, see [**?**, 9]. We should just mention here that in [13] and [**?**] more general case is considered — $O(n)$ model with $n \in [-2, 2]$ (self-avoiding walk is a particular case of this model for $n = 0$). Unfortunately, the weights written there contain some minor misprints, so for completeness we include correct version of the weights in Appendix.

The parafermionic observable will be the core of our proof. In order to simplify its definition, we consider self-avoiding walks on a skewed $\mathbb{Z}^2$, though we could also work directly with self-avoiding walks embedded to a normal square grid.

We will prove Theorem 1, following the scheme proposed by H. Duminil-Copin and S. Smirnov in [6]. It would be natural to try to generalize another statement [3] concerning the self-avoiding on the hexagonal lattice and find the critical value of fugacity for all weights described above. Though we conjecture that the same should hold, i. e. that the critical fugacity is equal to $1/(1 + (u_1^c)^2)$, we cannot prove this at the moment.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Stanislav Smirnov for introducing me to the subject and sharing the ideas, to Dmitry Chelkak for

many valuable and encouraging discussions, to Hugo Duminil-Copin for fruitful discussions and comments on the draft version of this paper. This work was supported by ERC AG CONFRA, the Swiss NSF and Chebyshev Laboratory at Saint Petersburg State University under the Russian Federation Government grant 11.G34.31.0026

2. Proof

To analyse the behaviour of the self-avoiding walk, we will use the following observable introduced in [6]. Let Ω be a simply connected domain, $a \in \partial\Omega$ and $z \in \Omega$:

$$F_a(z) = \sum_{\gamma: a \to z} \omega(\gamma) e^{-i\sigma W(\gamma)},$$

where the sum runs over self-avoiding walks starting at a, ending at z and staying in Ω. Above, $W(\gamma)$ denotes the winding of γ. The value σ will be fixed later. Observables for other models were introduced in [**?**, 5, 16], see also [7] for a survey.

We will find the weights for which our observable satisfies version of Cauchy-Riemann equation for any rhombus

$$F_a(z_{SE}) - F_a(z_{NW}) = e^{i\theta}(F_a(z_{SW}) - F_a(z_{NE})),$$

where $SENW$ is a rhombus with angles at N and S equal to θ and z_{SE}, z_{NE}, z_{NW}, z_{SW} are midpoints of its edges (see fig. 2). It will be more convenient to rewrite the equation with all of the terms on the left side:

$$F_a(z_{SE}) + e^{i\theta} F_a(z_{NE}) - F_a(z_{NW}) - e^{i\theta} F_a(z_{SW}) = 0. \tag{6}$$

Lemma 2. *If $\sigma = \frac{\ell}{8}$, where ℓ is some odd number, and the weights are given by u_1^c, u_2^c, v^c, w_1^c and w_2^c:*

$$u_1^c = \tfrac{1}{t_c} \sin(-2\sigma\pi) \sin(\sigma\pi + (1-\sigma)\theta) \tag{7}$$

$$u_2^c = \tfrac{1}{t_c} \sin(-2\sigma\pi) \sin((1-\sigma)\theta) \tag{8}$$

$$v^c = \tfrac{1}{t_c} \sin(\sigma\pi + (1-\sigma)\theta) \sin((1-\sigma)\theta) \tag{9}$$

$$w_1^c = \tfrac{1}{t_c} \sin(\sigma\pi + (1-\sigma)\theta) \sin((1-\sigma)\theta - 2\sigma\pi) \tag{10}$$

$$w_2^c = \tfrac{1}{t_c} \sin(3\sigma\pi + (1-\sigma)\theta) \sin((1-\sigma)\theta) \tag{11}$$

where $t_c = \sin(2\sigma\pi + (1-\sigma)\theta) \sin(\sigma\pi - (1-\sigma)\theta)$, then $F_a(u_1^c, u_2^c, v^c, w_1^c, w_2^c)$ satisfies (6).

Proof. Let us consider all paths contributing to this equation for some fixed rhombus $SENW$. We plan to divide walks into small groups and to prove that for each of these groups, the sum of the contributions is 0.



FIGURE 2. *Left:* A rhombus $SENW$ with angle θ and centers of the edges z_{SE}, z_{NE}, z_{NW}, z_{SW}. *Right:* Local changes of the path in different cases — each row of rhombi corresponds to one of the equations.

Without loss of generality, let us focus on walks visiting $SENW$ first by z_{SE} (and possibly some other edges of $SENW$ afterwards). They can be divided into the following groups according to the behaviour outside $SENW$ (see fig. 2):

- outside $SENW$ the walk γ is just the path from a to z_{SE}
- outside $SENW$ the walk γ is the union of the path from a to z_{SE} and the path from z_{NW} to z_{NE}
- outside $SENW$ the walk γ is the union of the path from a to z_{SE} and the path from z_{NW} to z_{SW}
- outside $SENW$ the walk γ is the union of the path from a to z_{SE} and the path from z_{SW} to z_{NE}

Note that if the total contribution of paths in each group is zero then F satisfies equation (6). At the same time, in each of these groups, paths differ one from another only inside the rhombus $SENW$. Hence, if the



FIGURE 3. The bijection between self-avoiding walks on rhombi with angle 60° and self-avoiding walks on honeycomb lattice. Weights are indicated just below the corresponding mapping.

following equations hold, we obtain (6):

$$1 + \lambda\bar{\mu}e^{i\theta}u_2 - v - \lambda e^{i\theta}u_1 = 0 \tag{12}$$

$$\lambda\bar{\mu}^2 e^{i\theta}v - \mu u_2 - \lambda e^{i\theta}w_2 = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$-\lambda\mu e^{i\theta}v - \bar{\mu}u_1 + \lambda\bar{\mu}e^{i\theta}w_1 = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$-\lambda\mu e^{i\theta}u_2 - \mu^2 w_2 + \lambda\bar{\mu}^2 e^{i\theta}u_1 - \bar{\mu}^2 w_1 = 0 \tag{15}$$

where $\lambda = e^{-i\sigma\theta}$, $\mu = e^{-i\sigma\pi}$. Solving this linear system, we obtain that $\sigma = \frac{\ell}{8}$ where ℓ is some odd number. For each σ and θ parameters, weights satisfying equations (12)-(15) are given by (7)-(11). □

For reasons which will become clear later, we are interested in $\sigma = \frac{5}{8}$. In this case, weights given by (7)-(11) can be rewritten as the weights given by (1)-(5). For $\frac{\pi}{3} < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, all of them are positive and

$$u_1^2 > w_1 \tag{16}$$

$$u_2^2 > w_2. \tag{17}$$

For $\theta < \frac{\pi}{3}$ we have $u_1^2 < w_1$ and $w_2 < 0$.

For $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$ we have $u_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}}$, $u_2 = v = w_1 = u_1^2$ and $w_2 = 0$. This case is in direct correspondence with the self-avoiding walk on the honeycomb lattice. We can divide a rhombus with angle $\frac{\pi}{3}$ into two equilateral triangles. Then, all possible states of a rhombus can be viewed as states of these two triangles (see fig. 3). If we do not permit our curve to have two long arcs in the same rhombus, there will be no more than one arc of our curve in each triangle. A rhombus is divided into triangles both of which have arcs if and only if there were either two short arcs in a rhombus or one long arc or one straight line.

Therefore, we just obtained the self-avoiding on the honeycomb lattice.

Let us take $x_c = u_1^c$, $u_1(x) = x$, $u_2(x) = x \cdot \frac{u_2^c}{x_c}$, $v(x) = x \cdot \frac{v^c}{x_c}$, $w_1(x) = x^2 \cdot \frac{w_1^c}{(x_c)^2}$ and $w_2(x) = x^2 \cdot \frac{w_2^c}{(x_c)^2}$. One can observe that for any x and any self-avoiding walk γ of length n holds $\omega(\gamma) = x^n \omega_c(\gamma)$, where $\omega_c(\gamma)$ is the weight of γ for $x = x_c$. In order to prove Theorem 1, we need just to show that the radius of convergence of $Z(x) = Z(x, u_2(x), v(x), w_1(x), w_2(x))$ is x_c. To prove that $Z(x) < \infty$ for $x < x_c$, we will use a standard bridge decomposition. The arguments proving $Z(x_c) = \infty$ are more subtle and use local relations. It is clear that F_a with parameters $x, u_2(x), v(x), w_1(x), w_2(x)$ satisfies equation (6) at $x = x_c$. Usually these equations are referred to as half of discrete Cauchy-Riemann equations. The reason is that equations (6) say that the function has rotor equal to zero, which is a part of Cauchy-Riemann equations. And the part which is missing is that the divergence of the function is equal to zero, i. e. some equations around vertices.



FIGURE 4. Parallelogram R and self-avoiding walks to its sides.

Now, let us consider a parallelogram $R$with angles θ and $\pi - \theta$, divided into congruent rhombuses (see fig. 4). By α, β, δ, ε we denote the left, the right, the top and the bottom sides of R (angle between *alpha* and δ is equal to θ). Let $2L+1$ be the number of rhombuses touching α and T be the number of rhombuses touching δ. The origin a will be in

the middle of its left side . We will use the following notations:

$$A_{T,L}(x) = \sum_{\gamma: a \to z \in \alpha} \omega_x(\gamma)$$
$$B_{T,L}(x) = \sum_{\gamma: a \to z \in \beta} \omega_x(\gamma)$$
$$D_{T,L}(x) = \sum_{\gamma: a \to z \in \delta} \omega_x(\gamma)$$
$$E_{T,L}(x) = \sum_{\gamma: a \to z \in \varepsilon} \omega_x(\gamma),$$

where $\omega_x(\gamma)$ is the weight of γ if the weights of the plaquets are x, $u_2(x)$, $v(x)$, $w_1(x)$ and $w_2(x)$.

Lemma 3. *For $c_\alpha = \cos\frac{3\pi}{8}$, $c_\delta = \cos(\frac{3}{8}\theta)$ and $c_\varepsilon = \cos(\frac{3}{8}(\pi-\theta))$*

$$c_\alpha A_{T,L}(x_c) + B_{T,L}(x_c) + c_\delta D_{T,L}(x_c) + c_\varepsilon E_{T,L}(x_c) = 1. \tag{18}$$

Proof. To obtain this equation we need sum (6) over all rhombi in the parallelogram R. □

Note that all three coefficients c_α, c_δ and c_ε are positive (here we use that $\sigma = \frac{5}{8}$).

For $x \le x_c$ consider $A_T(x)$, $B_T(x)$, $D_T(x)$ and $E_T(x)$:

$$A_T(x) = \lim_{L\to\infty} A_{T,L}(x)$$
$$B_T(x) = \lim_{L\to\infty} B_{T,L}(x)$$
$$E_T(x) = \lim_{L\to\infty} c_\delta D_{T,L}(x) + c_\varepsilon E_{T,L}(x).$$

The two first limits exist because $A_{T,L}(x)$ and $B_{T,L}(x)$ are increasing in L and bounded by 1 (see (18)), and the third one because (18) relates it to the limits of $A_{T,L}(x)$ and $B_{T,L}(x)$. We thus obtain

$$c_\alpha A_T(x_c) + B_T(x_c) + E_T(x_c) = 1.$$

Lemma 4. $Z(x_c) = \infty$.

Proof. Note that if $E_T(x_c) > 0$, then $Z(x_c) > \sum_L \frac{E_{T,L}(x_c)}{c_\varepsilon} = \infty$. From now on, we may assume that $E_T(x_c) = 0$. In this case,

$$c_\alpha A_T(x_c) + B_T(x_c) = 1. \tag{19}$$

Note that $B_T(x_c) - B_{T+1}(x_c) = c_\alpha(A_{T+1}(x_c) - A_T(x_c))$. But $A_{T+1}(x_c) - A_T(x_c)$ is the sum of weights of all the self-avoiding paths in the strip with width $T+1$ beginning at a and ending on the left side of the strip, which also touch the right side. Each of these paths γ can be

divided into path γ_1 from the left side of the strip to the right one and path γ_2 from the right side of the strip to the left one (more precisely, we split γ right after the first time γ touches the right side).

At this point, one must be aware that the weight of γ is not the product of weights of γ_1 and γ_2 since squares having two arcs of γ may have one arc of γ_1 and one arc of γ_2. These squares contribute w_c to $\omega(\gamma)$ and x_c^2 to $\omega(\gamma_1)\omega(\gamma_2)$. Nevertheless, the inequalities (16) and (17) imply that $\omega(\gamma) \le \omega(\gamma_1)\omega(\gamma_2)$.

Note that γ_1 has winding equal to either θ or $\pi - \theta$. In the first case, we obtain γ_1' by concatenating a short arc to γ_1 and γ_2' — by concatenating γ_2 to a long arc. In the second case, we concatenate a long arc to γ_1 and γ_2 to a short arc. In both cases, $\omega(\gamma_1')\omega(\gamma_2') = \omega(\gamma_1)\omega(\gamma_2)x_c u_2^c \le \omega(\gamma)x_c u_2^c$. Paths γ_1' and γ_2' are self-avoiding bridges starting at some particular points — γ_1' starts at a and γ_2' starts at the rhombus adjacent to the end point of γ_1'. This leads to the inequality

$$A_{T+1}(x_c) - A_T(x_c) \le (B_{T+1}(x_c))^2/(x_c u_2^c).$$

Using (19), we obtain a lower bound on the growth of $B_T(x_c)$:

$$B_T(x_c) - B_{T+1}(x_c) \le \frac{c_\alpha}{x_c u_2^c} \cdot (B_{T+1}(x_c))^2$$

$$\frac{c_\alpha}{x_c u_2^c} \cdot (B_{T+1}(x_c))^2 + B_{T+1}(x_c) \ge B_T(x_c)$$

$$B_T(x_c) \ge \frac{1}{T} \min(B_1(x_c), \frac{x_c u_2^c}{c_\alpha}).$$

Hence, $Z(x_c) \ge \sum_T B_T(x_c) = \infty$ since the harmonic series diverges. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof. Let us consider $x < x_c$. Any self-avoiding walk can be decomposed into bridges, no three of which have the same length (see [11]). At the same time, the weight of the walk is not greater than the product of weights of these bridges. Hence

$$Z(x) = Z(x, u_2(x), v(x), w_1(x), w_2(x)) \le 2 \prod_{T>0} (1 + B_T(x))^2.$$

It is clear that $B_T(x) \le (\frac{x}{x_c})^T \cdot B_T(x_c) \le (\frac{x}{x_c})^T$. Thus $Z(x) \le \prod_{T>0}(1 + (\frac{x}{x_c})^T) < \infty$. Hence, we know that $Z(x) < \infty$ for $x < x_c$. From Lemma 4, we obtain $Z(x_c) = \infty$.

It is clear that $Z(x) = Z(x, u_2(x), v(x), w_1(x), w_2(x)) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \tilde{c}_n x^n$. Because of $\tilde{c}_n \geq (1+\frac{v_c}{x_c})^n$ (one can walk always up-right), and the sub-multiplicativity $\tilde{c}_{n+m} \leq \tilde{c}_n \tilde{c}_m$ (any path of length $n+m$ can be divided into a path of length n and a path of length m: the weight of original path is not greater than the product of weights of these shorter paths since $\theta \geq \frac{\pi}{3}$), there exists $\tilde{\mu} \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\tilde{\mu} = \lim \tilde{c}_n^{\frac{1}{n}}$.

From $Z(x_c) = \infty$ and $Z(x) < \infty$ for $x < x_c$, it follows that $\tilde{\mu} = \frac{1}{x_c}$. □

Appendix: Critical weights for $O(n)$ model.

We consider a loop representation of $O(n)$ model on any finite simply connected rhombic tiling. The configuration in each rhombus is one of those mentioned in fig. 1 and we consider only the configurations which can be decomposed into several loops. In this case the weight of the configuration is:

$$\omega(conf) = \prod_{\text{rhombus } r} \omega(r) \cdot n^{\#\text{loops}},$$

where $\omega(r)$ is the weight of rhombus r, i. e. either 1 or one of u_1, u_2, v, w_1, w_2. We can also add boundary conditions — allow paths going from one particular edge on the boundary to another.

Now let us take any s and $n = -2\cos\frac{4\pi}{3}s$. We consider the following family of weights parametrized by s and angle θ of the rhombus:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 &= \tfrac{1}{t} \cdot \sin(\pi - \theta)s \cdot \sin\tfrac{2\pi}{3}s \\
u_2 &= \tfrac{1}{t} \cdot \sin\theta s \cdot \sin\tfrac{2\pi}{3}s \\
v &= \tfrac{1}{t} \cdot \sin\theta s \cdot \sin(\pi - \theta)s \\
w_1 &= \tfrac{1}{t} \cdot \sin(\tfrac{2\pi}{3} - \theta)s \cdot \sin(\pi - \theta)s \\
w_2 &= \tfrac{1}{t} \cdot \sin(\theta - \tfrac{\pi}{3})s \cdot \sin\theta s,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$t = \frac{\sin^3\frac{2\pi}{3}s}{\sin\frac{\pi}{3}s} + \sin(\theta - \tfrac{\pi}{3})s \cdot \sin(\tfrac{2\pi}{3} - \theta)s.$$

Proposition 5. *These weights satisfy Yang-Baxter equation and parafermionic observable F with spin σ equal to $s+1$ on any rhombus $SENW$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$F_a(z_{SE}) + e^{i\theta}F_a(z_{NE}) - F_a(z_{NW}) - e^{i\theta}F_a(z_{SW}) = 0.$$

The proof can be done by local transformations in the same way as the proof of Lemma 6. One should just keep in mind that there are more different local configuration in this case, because we can have loops.

Remark 6. *The weights are symmetric in θ — if one takes $\pi-\theta$ instead of θ then v is the same, x_1 and x_2 are exchanged and w_1 and w_2 are exchanged.*

One can see that for $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$ and any s the weights can be factorized, i. e. $w_1 = v = u_2 = u_1^2$, $w_2 = 0$ and $u_1 = x_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2-n}}}$. So this is just the $O(n)$ model on the honeycomb lattice with the weight for each edge equal to $x_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2-n}}}$. Nienhuis nonrigorously derived this to be the critical value for $O(n)$ model on the honeycomb lattice.

References

[1] I. T. Alma, M. T. Bachelor. Integrability as a consequence of discrete holomorphicity: loop models. *a*rXiv:1402.0937 (2014)

[2] R. Bauerschmidt, H. Duminil-Copin, J. Goodman, and G. Slade. Lectures on self-avoiding walks. In D. Ellwood, C. Newman, V. Sidoravicius, and W. Werner, editors, *Lecture notes, in Probability and Statistical Physics in Two and More Dimensions.* CMI/AMS Clay Mathematics Institute Proceedings (2011)

[3] N. R. Beaton, M. Bousquet-Mélou, J. de Gier, H. Duminil-Copin, and A. J. Guttmann. The critical fugacity for surface adsorption of self-avoiding walks on the honeycomb lattice is $1 + \sqrt{2}$ *arXiv:1109.0358* (2011)

[4] J. Cardy and Y. Ikhlef. Discretely Holomorphic Parafermions and Integrable Loop Models *J. Phys. A, Math. theor.* **42**, *102001* (2009)

[5] D. Chelkak and S. Smirnov, Universality in the 2D Ising model and conformal invariance of fermionic observables. *Invent. Math.* **189**, *no. 3, 515580* (2012)

[6] H. Duminil-Copin and S. Smirnov. The connective constant of the honeycomb lattice equals $\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$ *Ann. of math (2),* **175 (3)**, *pp. 1653-1665* (2012)

[7] H. Duminil-Copin and S. Smirnov. Conformal invariance of lattice models *Lecture notes, in Probability and Statistical Physics in Two and More Dimensions.* CMI/AMS Clay Mathematics Institute Proceedings (2011)

[8] P. Flory. Principles of Polymer Chemistry *Cornell university Press. ISBN: 0-814-0134-8* (1953)

[9] Y. Ikhlef, R. Weston, M. Wheeler, P. Zinn-Justin. Discrete holomorphicity and quantized affine algebras *arXiv:1302.4649* (2013)

[10] G. Lawler, O. Schramm, W. Werner. *Fractal geometry and applications* **Part 2** *339-364* (2004)

[11] J. M. Hammersley and D. J. A. Welsh. Further results on the rate of convergence to the connective constant of the hypercubical lattice. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **13** *108-110* (1962).
[12] N. Madras and G. Slade. Self-avoiding walks *Probability and its applications. Birkhauser Boston, inc. Boston, MA* (1993)
[13] B. Nienhuis. Critical and multicritical $O(n)$ models *Physica A* **163** *152* (1990)
[14] B. Nienhuis. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49** *1062* (1982)
[15] W. J. C. Orr. Statistical treatment of polymer solutions at infinite dilution *Transactions of the Faraday Society* **43** *12-27* (1947)
[16] S. Smirnov. Discrete complex analysis and probability. *Rajendra Bhatia (ed.) et al., Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (ICM), Hyderabad, India, August 19-27, Volume I: Plenary lectures. New Delhi, World Scientific* (2010)

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Genève. 2–4 rue du Lièvre, Genève, Switzerland.

Chebyshev Laboratory, Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, St. Petersburg State University. 14th Line 29B, Vasilyevsky Island, 199178 St.Petersburg, Russia.

St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute (PDMI RAS). Fontanka 27, 191023 St. Petersburg, Russia
E-mail address: alexander.glazman@unige.ch